arXiv:0812.4606v1 [math.NT] 25 Dec 2008

Ternary Goldbach's Problem Involving Primes of a Special Type

Sergey A. Gritsenko and Natalya N. Motkina

Abstract

Let η be a quadratic irrationality. The variant of a ternary problem of Goldbach involving primes such that $a < \{\eta p\} < b$, where a and b are arbitrary numbers of the interval $(0,1)$, solved in this paper.

1. Introduction Suppose that $k \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$ are naturals. Consider the equation

$$p_1^n + p_2^n + \cdots + p_k^n = N \tag{1}$$

in primes $p_1,\ p_2, \ldots, p_k$.

Several classical problems in number theory can be reduced to the question on the number of solutions of the equation (1). For example if $n = 1$ and $k = 2$ or 3 then (1) is the equation of Goldbach; if $n \geq 3$ then (1) is the equation of Waring–Goldbach.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a subset of the set of primes, $\mu = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \pi^{-1}(N) \sum\limits_{\substack{p\leq N\\ p\in\mathcal{P}}} 1$ be the "density" of $\mathcal{P}$, $0 < \mu < 1$.

Let $J_{k,n}(N)$ be the number of solutions of (1) in primes of the set $\mathcal{P}$, and $I_{k,n}(N)$ be the number of solutions of (1) in arbitrary primes.

Sometimes the equality

$$J_{k,n}(N) \sim \mu^k I_{k,n}(N) \tag{2}$$

holds.

For example, if $\mathcal{P} = \{p \mid a < \{\sqrt{p}\} < b\}$, $0 < a < b < 1$ then provided $n = 1$, $k = 3$, and also provided $n \geq 3$, $k \gg n^2 \log n$ the equality (2) holds (s. [2]).

In present paper we solve the additive problem for which the equality (2) does not hold.

Let η be a quadratic irrationality, $0 < a < b < 1$, $\mathcal{P} = \{p \mid a < \{\eta p\} < b\}$, $n = 1$. Then $\mu = b - a$ (s. [1]).

The main result of the present paper is the following theorem which shows that the equality $J_{3,1}(N) \sim (b-a)^3 I_{3,1}(N)$ does not hold.

Note that (s. [1])

$$I_{3,1}(N) = \sigma(N)\frac{N^2}{2(\log N)^3} + O\left(\frac{N^2}{(\log N)^4}\right),$$

where

$$\sigma(N) = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)^3}\right) \prod_{p \backslash N} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^2 - 3p + 3}\right).$$

THEOREM **1.** *Suppose*

$$\sigma(N, a, b) = \sum_{|m| < \infty} e^{2\pi i m(\eta N - 1.5(a+b))} \frac{\sin^3 \pi m(b-a)}{\pi^3 m^3}.$$

Then, for any $C > 0$, *formula*

$$J_{3,1}(N) = I_{3,1}(N)\sigma(N, a, b) + O(N^2 \ln^{-C} N)$$

holds.

Outline of proof. Define the function

$$\psi_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if} \quad a < x < b, \\ 0, & \text{if} \quad 0 \le x \le a \quad \text{or} \quad b \le x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

and extend it along number axis with period 1. Suppose

$$S_0(x) = \sum_{p \le N} \psi_0(\eta p) e^{2\pi i x p};$$

then

$$J_{3,1}(N) = \int_0^1 S_0^3(x) e^{-2\pi i x N} dx.$$

In Vinogradov's lemma on "containers"(s. [1], p. 22), we select $r = [\ln N]$, $\Delta = \ln^{-A_1} N$, where $A_1 > 0$ is sufficiently large constant. Denote the function ψ from the lemma on the "containers" by

ψ_1 with $\alpha = a+\Delta/2$ and $\beta = b-\Delta/2$. Set in the lemma $\alpha = a-\Delta/2$, $\beta = b+\Delta/2$ and denote the corresponding function ψ by ψ_2. Define

$$J_k(N) = \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{p\le N} \psi_k(\eta p) e^{2\pi i x p} \right)^3 e^{-2\pi i x N} dx, \quad k = 1, 2. \qquad (3)$$

From the properties of $\psi_1(x)$ and $\psi_2(x)$ there follows

$$J_1(N) \le J_{3,1}(N) \le J_2(N). \qquad (4)$$

We shall get an asymptotic formulas for $J_1(N)$ and $J_2(N)$ with identical main terms. In the above integral (3) we replace $\psi_k(\eta p)$ by the Fourier series

$$\psi_k(\eta p) = \sum_{|m|\le r\Delta^{-1}} c_k(m) e^{2\pi i m \eta p} + O(N^{-\ln \pi});$$

thus

$$J_k(N) = \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{|m|\le r\Delta^{-1}} c_k(m) \sum_{p\le N} e^{2\pi i (x+m\eta)p} \right)^3 e^{-2\pi i x N} dx + O(N^{2-\ln \pi})$$

$$= \sum_{|m_1|\le r\Delta^{-1}} c_k(m_1) \sum_{|m_2|\le r\Delta^{-1}} c_k(m_2) \sum_{|m_3|\le r\Delta^{-1}} c_k(m_3) \int_0^1 \sum_{p_1\le N} e^{2\pi i (x+m_1\eta)p_1} \cdot$$

$$\cdot \sum_{p_2\le N} e^{2\pi i (x+m_2\eta)p_2} \sum_{p_3\le N} e^{2\pi i (x+m_3\eta)p_3} e^{-2\pi i x N} dx + O(N^{2-\ln \pi}).$$

Note that

$$\sum_{|m|\le r\Delta^{-1}} c_k^3(m) e^{2\pi i n \eta N} \sum_{p_1\le N} \sum_{p_2\le N} \sum_{p_3\le N} \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i (x+m\eta)(p_1+p_2+p_3-N)} dx =$$

$$= I_{3,1}(N)(\sigma(N,a,b) + O(\Delta)).$$

Consider the sets such that $(m_1, m_2, m_3) \ne (m, m, m)$ and the integrals

$$I(N, m_1, m_2, m_3) = \int_0^1 |S(x+m_1\eta)||S(x+m_2\eta)||S(x+m_3\eta)| dx,$$

where

$$S(x) = \sum_{p \le N} e^{2\pi i x p}.$$

Without loss of generality it can be assumed that $m_1 < m_2$. By t denote $x + m_1\eta$. Since the integrand has a period 1, we can take t to lie in the range $E = [-1/\tau; 1-1/\tau)$, where $\tau = N \ln^{-B} N$, $B > 2C+8$. Suppose $d, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ are such that

$$t = \frac{d}{q} + \frac{\theta_1}{q\tau}, \quad (d,q) = 1, \quad 1 \le q \le \tau, \quad |\theta_1| < 1.$$

Divide the interval E into two intervals E_1 and E_2 for which $q \le \ln^A N$ and $\ln^A N < q \le \tau$ respectively with a fixed $A > 2C + 8$. On writing $m = m_2 - m_1$, $m' = m_3 - m_1$, we can express the above integral as

$$\int_{-1/\tau}^{1-1/\tau} |S(t)||S(t+m\eta)||S(t+m'\eta)|dt$$

and get the estimate

$$\ll \pi(N)(\max_{t \in E_1} |S(t+m\eta)| + \max_{t \in E_2} |S(t|). \tag{5}$$

It is well known (s. [3], p. 35) that

$$\max_{t \in E_2} |S(t)| \ll N^{1/2}\tau^{1/2} \ln^4 N. \tag{6}$$

Suppose $D, Q \in \mathbb{Z}$ are such that

$$\eta = \frac{D}{Q} + \frac{\theta_2}{Q^2}, \quad (D,Q) = 1, \quad 1 \le Q \le \tau_1, \quad |\theta_2| < 1.$$

From η is the quadratic irrationality it follows that $\tau_1 \ge Q > c(\alpha)\tau_1$. Choosing $\tau_1 = \sqrt{\tau}$, we can get the estimate

$$\max_{t \in E_1} |S(t+m\eta)| \ll N^{1/2}\tau^{1/2} \ln^4 N. \tag{7}$$

Now the theorem follows directly from formulas (4) — (7)

References

[1] I.M.Vinogradov, *The method of trigonometrical Sums* [in Rusian], Nauka, Moscow (1983)

[2] S.A. Gritsenko, *Three additive problems*, Izvestiya Mathematics, 1993, 41 (3), 447–464

[3] R.C.Vaughan, *The Hardy-Littlewood Method* [in Russian], Mir, Moscow (1985)